

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X		
SOPHIE BECKERMAN,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
-against-	:	
	:	20-CV-1530 (VEC)
	:	
FRESH DIGITAL GROUP, INC., DOUG	:	<u>ORDER</u>
ROBINSON INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, DOUG	:	
ROBINSON OFFICIAL CAPACITY, JOHN	:	
AND JANE DOES 1-10 INDIVIDUAL	:	
CAPACITY, JOHN AND JANE DOES 1-10	:	
OFFICIAL CAPACITY, XYZ CORP. 1-10,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----X		

VALERIE CAPRONI, United States District Judge:

WHEREAS on October 16, 2020, a show cause hearing was held on why an order of default judgment should not be entered against all Defendants;

WHEREAS none of the Defendants appeared at the hearing;

WHEREAS the Court must have subject matter jurisdiction to enter any order in this matter, including a default judgment;

WHEREAS Plaintiff alleges the Court has federal question jurisdiction over the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) claims and supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims, Compl., Dkt. 1;

WHEREAS on October 16, 2020, the Court ordered the Plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, including that, despite the classification in her employment letter, she was an employee and not an independent contractor;

that no FLSA exemption applied to her; and that Defendant Fresh Digital Group, Inc. (“Fresh Digital”) had more than \$500,000 in annual revenue, Dkt. 24;

WHEREAS on November 13, 2020, Plaintiff responded to the Court’s order, Dkts. 25, 26;


WHEREAS to show annual revenue, Plaintiff relied on an investor presentation that represented that Fresh Digital had annual revenue of \$315,000 in fiscal year 2017 and projected revenue of over \$6 million for fiscal year 2018, the year in which Plaintiff was allegedly employed, Dkts. 25, 26; and

WHEREAS Plaintiff’s job description, as articulated in the Letter of Offer of Employment, referenced working closely with clients, including “manag[ing] the entire sales process” with “a strong emphasis on new business sales, while expanding existing accounts,” Dkt. 25-1 at 6–7;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that by no later than **Friday, December 11, 2020**, Plaintiff must either provide sufficient facts about existing clients, contracts, or other revenue sources from which the Court can infer that Fresh Digital had annual revenue in excess of \$500,000 in 2018 or voluntarily dismiss the action so that it can be refiled in state court.

**SO ORDERED.**

**Date: November 19, 2020**  
**New York, New York**

  
**VALERIE CAPRONI**  
**United States District Judge**